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**Importance of the problem**

- Hypertension (HTN) is the most common condition seen in primary care.
- Estimated prevalence is 75 million US adults with hypertension. An estimate 11 million of them do not know it.
- If not detected and treated appropriately, HTN can lead to:
  - Myocardial infarction (heart attacks)
  - Heart failure
  - Stroke
  - Renal failure
  - Premature death
- Treatment is highly effective and inexpensive. (Complications are very expensive)
- Not well-managed; overall, only 50% of cases adequately controlled.
Importance in quality improvement

- Two important quality measures are included in the most widely used sets of quality metrics.
  - Screening for hypertension
  - Adequate control of hypertension
- Quality metrics are being incorporated into provider reimbursement as the health care system makes a transition from volume-based care to value-based care.
Goal of the project

- Develop and implement a comprehensive plan to improve management of hypertension by the faculty practice of the Robert Wood Johnson Medical School*

- Specific aims:
  - Assessment of current practices in the medical group relating to screening for and management of hypertension.
  - Assess current performance in screening and controlling blood pressure in the practice.
  - Implementation of decision support in the GE Centricity electronic medical record (EMR) to guide screening and management of HTN.
  - Education sessions for the clinical staff and physicians.
  - Measurement of post-implementation performance.
Pre-intervention Qualitative Assessment

- Medical assistants taking blood pressures incorrectly (e.g. not rolling up sleeves, patients in the wrong position, not waiting long enough before taking reading.

- Elevated readings not being repeated consistently.

- No mechanism for recalling patients whose last blood pressure was elevated.

- No process in place to document follow up plans for patients with elevated blood pressure. (this is required to satisfy quality metrics).
Pre-intervention Quantitative Assessment

◆ **Patients with HTN:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row Labels</th>
<th># of patients</th>
<th>% of patients</th>
<th># overdue</th>
<th>% overdue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>1847</td>
<td><strong>58%</strong></td>
<td>294</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>3182</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>535</td>
<td><strong>17%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

◆ **Screening in Patients without HTN:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BP Category</th>
<th># of patients</th>
<th>% of patients</th>
<th># overdue</th>
<th>% overdue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>3137</td>
<td><strong>78.5%</strong></td>
<td>886</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prehypertension</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1 hypertension</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2 hypertension</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>3998</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td><strong>29.1%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project was divided into two teams

- **Team 1: Recall of patients with HTN**
  - Contacted patients who were overdue for blood pressure measurements
  - Gathering data to assess % of patients with overdue blood pressure after the intervention.
  - Results pending.

- **Team 2: Decision Support for patient without HTN**
  - Prompts in EMR to repeat abnormal blood pressures
  - Automatic classification of blood pressure readings into categories with specific follow up recommendations
  - Prompts for follow up recommendations for each category
  - Mechanism for documenting follow up intervention for each patient
Decision Support Screen in the EMR
Conclusions

- Baseline performance for HTN control is slightly higher than the national benchmark, but there is plenty of room for improvement.

- In patients without a diagnosis of hypertension, there is a very high rate of overdue blood pressure measurements, including 42% of patients whose most recent blood pressure reading was in the hypertensive range.

- The percentage of patients with hypertension whose blood pressure readings are overdue is about the same regardless of whether their last reading was well-controlled or not.

- The most important intervention appears to be ensuring that patients return for follow up.

- We need formal training of the providers and ancillary staff.

- Decision support is feasible to ensure selection and documentation of follow up plans.